Minimum requirements for establishment of an outcome registry

Fazal Hussain, MD, MPH EMBMT King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Introduction

- Registry Fundamentals
- Standardization
- Quality assurance
- Funding

Outcome



Database/collection of patient records

- Clinical and lab data; day +100 and beyond
 - Trends
- Transplant/Tx, toxicity and complications
 - Source of Tx, Conditioning, TRM
- Follow up info
 - Survival/outcome analysis
- Generally electronic/online database
- National outcome registry centralizes country data to avoid redundancy

Benefits of outcome registry

- Enhancing gaps in knowledge
- Monitoring transplant trends/outcomes
 - For prompt attention; Res projects using registry data
- Advocating for health care
 - Small investment \rightarrow ↑ survival & ↓ complications
- Resource Allocation priority setting
 - Identifying needs & prioritizing for successful outcome
- Serving as a distribution mechanism
 - Trends, patterns, outcome of drugs/regimens
- Facilitating establishment of communication network
 - Information, education materials, notices for HSCT patients
- Ensuring better global data
 - Advocating for improving care for world's HSCST population
- Identifying population
 - Collaboration to study important regional issues
- Synchronization across registries

Principles of data collection

Accuracy:

- Policy decisions
- Bad data → bad policy decisions/lack of credibility

Simplicity:

To reduce the number of errors and fatigue on those collecting the data

Completeness:

A registry needs all the data – missing data reduces accuracy and data quality

Minimum requirements

Data collection

- What do we want to know? Why do we want to know it?
- Personnel/Staffing
- Regulations/SOPS/IPPS
- Data management/collection/storage
- Communication
 - Center→Country→Region→World
- Quality assurance
- Funding
- Data utilization/Sharing/Publications

Personnel/Staffing

- Qualified, trained personnel
- Use of effective registry tools/software
 - Collection, management and analysis
 - F/U mechanism
 - Effective communication
- HSCT background/skills
 - Staging, grading, toxicity etc.
- Documentation
 - Comprehensive
- Understanding international guidelines and standards
- Work load/proportionality

Regulations

- By-laws
- Data transfer agreements
- Accreditation
 - JACIE
 - FACT

IRB

- Local regulatory authorities in each country
- Wide variation in ethical committees
- Consenting issues
- CIRB!
- Privacy and Confidentiality
 - Unique identifier for pts to avoid duplication
 - EMBMT Center #: Country code—city code—Ctr Number
 - WBMT Unique Global Transplant Center Number (GTCN):
 - Exclusive EBMT member GTCN 00383-00000-000000
 - EBMT and CIBMTR member GTCN 00292-00345-000000
 - EBMT, CIBMTR and APBMT member GTCN 00195-03456-000120

Data collection

EMR

- EMR vs. traditional med records
 - Effective MR/Labs/path interfacing
- Standardized registry software
 - Need for quality software
 - User friendly, reliable, validated, compatible and universally acceptable
 - FormsNet3/PROMISE/AGNIS/TRUMP/STEMSOFT/Others
 - Global registry by WBMT?
- Redundancy in data collection
 - Reporting to several international registries
 - Harmonized forms/CRFs
- Accuracy, integrity
 - Registry management/Data Monitoring Committee (DMC)
 - Quality control/internal & external audits/monitoring
- QI/PI
 - Advanced survey forms; data collection and f/u mechanism
 - Need to secure timeline for f/u (day 100, 6 months, 1 yr, etc.)
- Cross training of data managers
 - Continuous education & training, multi-disciplinary approach

Communication

Language barriers

- Some countries under colonial rules
 - French vs. English speakers
- Cultural, social and economical heterogeneity
 - Variety of languages/dialects
 - Regional differences
- Cultural sensitivities
 - QOL forms
 - Limitations
 - Socio-cultural aspects
- Queries
- Reporting

Quality assurance

Standardization

- Establishing accreditation standards
- Unification of HSCT registries
- Universal guidelines for different indicators
 - Lab units
 - Toxicity criteria (Berman, CTC, WHO)
 - GVHD definition and response criteria (NIH vs. others)
 - Performance status (KPS, ECOG)
- Uniform QM standards with JACIE/FACT
 - Good registry practice
 - Accuracy, integrity, reliability, transparency
- Implications/outcomes of registry data quality
 - Inconsistency and fragmentation
 - Need to review/update registry CRFs/database annually
 - New variables, targets/markers, staging/grading (AJCC 8.0)

Data utilization/Publications

Sharing the data

- Maximum utilization
- Overlapping registries/multiple databases
- Integration/interoperability
- CIBMTR/EBMT data utilization
 - Limited! Need to secure complete data retrieval
 - EMBMT: Full access to all data centers
 - Harmonized registry forms
 - Encompassing MED-A and Pre-TED forms
 - Uniformity
 - Standardization
 - Validity and homogeneity

Authorship guidelines by the registry

- # transplants
- Contribution
- Participation

Essential elements

Patient

Identification

• Personal ID # (UPIN/Nat'l ID/SS)

Demographics

- Gender
- Place of birth
- Marital status
- Age at Dx
- Nationality
- Occupation and industry
- Country of birth

Tumor and its investigations

- Diagnosis
- Method/Date of Dx
- Clinical extent of dis pre-treatment
- Surg/path extent of dis pre-treatment
- Ch deletions/receptors/biomarkers
- Stage/Grade
- Site(s) of distant mets
- Donor type

Treatment

- Initial treatment/transplant
- Engraftment
- GVHD
- F/U-systematically
 - Response evaluation
 - Disease status post transplant
- Date of last contact
- Status at last contact (alive, dead, unk)
- Date of death
- Cause of death
- Place of death

Outcome/Survival analysis:

- Short and long term F/U
- Progression-free survival
- Overall survival
- Overall response rate
- Clinical benefit rate
- Duration of response
- Time to response
- Quality of life outcome

Patient follow-up on Registry



Final/Interim analysis:

The periodic/interim analysis: at the discretion of the PI

Options for the PI:

Flexibility/wide variety of sub-groups/Multiple studies Historic controls safety and efficacy data

FPI, first patient in; LPI, last patient in

Safety variables



AE, adverse event; GVHD, graft vs. host disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SAE, serious adverse event

Subgroup analyses



AE, adverse event; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status



- Data Quality is the foundation of outcome registries
- Registries impact clinical decisions
- Documentation is the key
 - If it is not documented, it did not happen!
- Communication! Teamwork



